

**Beverly Hills Safety Employees  
Retirement Obligations**

**a Presentation of the  
Beverly Hills Pension Task Force**

**August 2, 2011**

# Introduction

- **Purpose of the Task Force**
- **Who we are**
- **What we did**
- **Overview of the Issue**
- **CalPERS History**
- **Stanford Institute Policy Brief**
- **Little Hoover Commission**
- **Proposals by Other Jurisdictions**
- **Safety Employees Pension Obligations**
- **Cost Analysis**
- **Recommendations**

# Purpose of the Task Force

- **Safety employee pension obligations are one of the biggest long-term financial threats to Beverly Hills.**
  - The political reality is: we cannot afford to perpetuate the current defined benefit pension plan.
- **The City Treasurer organized a task force of citizens with financial and related backgrounds to:**
  - Promote better community understanding of Beverly Hills pension obligations.
  - Quantify those obligations.
  - Estimate what our City can sustainably afford.
  - Recommend improvements.
- **OPEB (Other Post Employment Benefits) obligations, the other major threat, were not addressed.**

# Who We Are

- **Eliot Finkel, City Treasurer and founder of Eliot Finkel Investment Counsel, LLC**
- **Abner D. Goldstine, Deputy City Treasurer and Senior Vice President of Capital Research and Management Co.**
- **Eugene Krieger, Vice-Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of Shamrock Holdings, Inc.**
- **David A. Schwarz, member of the California Little Hoover Commission and Attorney with Irell & Manella, LLP.**
- **Joan B. Seidel, former City Treasurer and President of Morton Seidel & Co. Inc.**

**The views expressed herein are solely those of the task force members.**

# What We Did

## **Reviewed:**

- **Safety Employees Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs)**
- **Beverly Hills Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)**
- **2011-2012 City budget.**
- **California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) documents.**
- **Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research April 2010 policy brief “Going for Broke: Reforming California’s Public Employee Pension Systems.”**
- **California’s Little Hoover Commission February 2011 report entitled “Public Pensions for Retirement Security.”**
- **Remedies proposed by Long Beach, Santa Barbara, San Jose, Los Altos, Costa Mesa and other California cities.**

**Developed models and charts to clarify the issues.**

# **Overview of the Issue**

- **Safety employee pension obligations are a major long-term financial threat to Beverly Hills.**
- **There is a need to develop a pension plan for our safety employees that is both fair to our safety employees and fair, sustainable and predictable for our residents.**
- **Safety employees did not cause the problem.**
- **State Government, CalPERS and prior City Councils all participated in bringing us to this point.**
- **No easy solutions though steps toward financial predictability and sustainability can be taken.**
- **California courts complicate the issue.**
  - **Consensual agreements with employees should be okay.**

# CalPERS History

- **Predecessor “State Employees Retirement System” formed in 1932.**
- **In 1991, Governor Pete Wilson wanted to use pension funds to help cover a state budget deficit.**
- **Proposition 162, the "California Pension Protection Act of 1992," gave CalPERS board the sole and exclusive fiduciary responsibility over the assets.**
- **In the late 1990’s, after a decade-long bull market, CalPERS declared that:**
  - **The retirement fund was over-funded.**
  - **Further contributions would not be required for an indefinite period.**
  - **State and municipal governments should consider increasing benefits.**

# **CalPERS History (cont.)**

- **In 1999, State Senate Bill 400 raised the permitted (not required) limit on safety employee retirement formulas to 3% per year of the final year's salary, with a maximum of 90%, beginning at age 50 (3% at 50).**
- **In 2001, Beverly Hills raised its safety employees pension benefits from 2% at 50 to 3% at 50.**
- **Overly optimistic rate of return estimates led to significant underfunding with a subsequent rise in the cost to the taxpayer.**



# **Stanford Institute Policy Brief**

**Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research - April 2010.**

**Conclusion: California public pension liabilities are substantially understated.**

**Recommendations:**

- **Adopt probability-based funding targets.**
- **Make contributions at “Normal Rate” without exception.**
- **Invest in less volatile assets (predominantly fixed income).**
- **Offer employees a hybrid system of both defined benefit and defined contribution (401k) plans.**

# **Little Hoover Commission**

**An independent California watchdog agency created in 1962.**

**Public Pensions for Retirement Security - February 2011.**

## **Conclusions:**

- **Pension costs will crush government.**
- **The math doesn't work.**
  - **Retirement age dropping.**
  - **People living longer.**
  - **Benefits increasing.**
  - **Expected rate of return is unreasonable.**

# **Little Hoover Commission (cont.)**

## **Recommendations:**

- **Move to a sustainable Hybrid System - lower level defined benefit plan plus defined contribution plan.**
  - **Cap salary for defined benefit plan.**
  - **Set appropriate pension age eligibility.**
  - **Add defined contribution plan above salary cap.**
  - **Defined benefit computed only on base pay for final five years average pay.**

# **Little Hoover Commission (cont.)**

## **Recommendations (cont.):**

- **Improve transparency and accountability.**
  - **Modify CalPERS board to include a majority of independent, public members.**
  - **Submit all pension increases to the voters.**
  - **Present pension fund liabilities using a sensitivity analysis of high, medium and low discount rates.**

# Proposals by Other Jurisdictions

- **Governor**
  - **Prohibit pension holidays wherein no contributions are made.**
  - **Prohibit employers from making employee contributions.**
  - **Prohibit retroactive increases.**
  - **Prohibit pension spiking.**

# Proposals by Other Jurisdictions (cont.)

- **Initiative 2012 – Center for Fiscal Responsibilities.**
  - Employers may not contribute to employee’s share.
  - Defined contribution only for new hires.
  - Benefits based on last 3 or more years compensation.
  - Two-thirds of trustees independent.
- **Assemblyman Roger Niello - ballot measure to:**
  - Set minimum retirement age at 62.
  - Cap retirement benefits at 60% average of highest three years.
- **Long Beach - Safety employees pay 50% of all pension obligations or face layoff of 20%.**

# Proposals by Other Jurisdictions (cont.)

- **San Jose Mayor proposed declaring a state of “Fiscal Emergency.”**
  - Can amend contracts and benefits of employees going forward (already accrued benefits not affected).
- **League of California Cities City Managers Pension Reform Action Plan:**
  - Employees pay full 9% employee share.
  - 2-tier system for new hires.
  - Retirement benefit restricted to base pay.
  - 2% per year with maximum benefit of 65%
  - Minimum retirement age = 55
- **GASB (Government Accounting Standards Board)**
  - Use corporate bond return, currently 5.1%, for calculations.

# Beverly Hills Pension Obligations

## Average Employee Salaries

	Police Officer	Firefighter	Non-Safety
Employees	128	79	468
Average Base Salary	\$93,600	\$99,800	\$55,100
Special Pays (Education, Assignment Bonuses)	\$9,400*	\$8,400*	\$3,500
Overtime	\$13,000	\$23,500	\$470
Other Benefit Cost (Medical, Dental, Etc.)	\$23,200	\$16,500	\$13,500
City's portion of the pension cost	\$26,300	\$27,600	\$6,100
Employee portion of pension (currently paid by the City)	\$9,300*	\$9,700*	\$4,700
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$174,800</b>	<b>\$185,500</b>	<b>\$83,370</b>

\* Currently included in final year salary for pension calculation.



# Beverly Hills Pension Obligations (cont.)

## History

Liability in \$ millions		Safety	Non-Safety	Total
1999	Total Liability	\$144	\$88	\$232
	Overfunded	\$19	\$37	\$56
2009 (latest available)	Total Liability	\$313	\$227	\$540
	Unfunded	(\$56)	(\$27)	*(\$83)

**\* Additional funding needed to pay \$83m unfunded liability in 16 years = \$8.6m per year.**

# Beverly Hills Pension Obligations (cont.)

## Annual Percentage Returns

<b>Period Covered</b>	<b>CalPERS</b>	<b>30-year U.S. Treasuries</b>
<b>20-years</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>9.75%</b>
<b>10-year</b>	<b>3.95%</b>	<b>7.08%</b>

**Current U.S. Treasury yield = 4.3%**

# Beverly Hills Pension Obligations (cont.)

## Pension Obligation for Safety Employees

	Actual		Projected		
Fiscal Year	2002-03	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
% of Salary	6%	26%	32%	33%	39%
Expense	\$2.7m	*\$9.2m	*\$11.6m	*\$12.3m	*\$15.0m
% of General Fund Revenues	2.5%	5.6%	7.1%	7.3%	8.7%

\* Includes additional cost of repaying unfunded obligations.

# Cost Analysis

## Many moving parts

- **Retirement formula – 3% or less?**
- **Employee contribution – 9% or less?**
- **Maximum benefit – 90% or less?**
- **Basis for pension**
  - **Final year or multiple years**
  - **What is included (bonus, overtime, accrued vacation, etc.)**
- **Minimum retirement age – 50 or more?**
- **Expected rate of return on pension investments – 7.75% or less?**
- **Life expectancy – 79 or more?**
- **Defined contribution plan?**

# Cost Analysis (cont.)

## Plan/Proposal Assumptions

- Age Hired:20
- Life Expectancy: 79
- Starting Salary : Police = \$76,268, Fire = \$73,119
- Safety employees: Police = 128, Fire = 79
- Percent Annual Increase: 1.50%

Plan	% Annual Benefit	Max Benefit	Retirement Age	Bonus included	Employee Obligation included
Current	3%	90%	50	Yes	Yes
California League of Cities Proposal	2%	65%	55	No	No

# Cost Analysis (cont.)

## Current Plan vs. California League of Cities Proposal

	Rate of Return	Department	Contribution as % of Salaries *
<b>Current Plan</b>	<b>7.75%</b>	<b>Police</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
		<b>Fire</b>	<b>14.9%</b>
<b>California League of Cities Proposal</b>	<b>7.75%</b>	<b>Police</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
		<b>Fire</b>	<b>6.5%</b>

**\* Excludes contributions for current unfunded obligations.**

# Recommendations

- **Implement California League of Cities Proposal**
  - **Employees to contribute 9% employee pension obligation.**
  - **Pension benefits restricted to base salary.**
  - **Maximum benefit of 65%.**
  - **Increase in minimum retirement age to 55.**
  - **Pension benefits based on average of final three years salary.**
  - **Two-tier pension system for new employees.**
  - **Supplement defined benefit cap with defined contribution plan.**

# **Recommendations (cont).**

- **Support reform of CalPERS governance.**
  - **Majority of board to be independent (not government workers).**
- **Use corporate bond return as basis of calculations (GASB).**
  - **Using 5.1% expected return would more than double annual obligations.**
- **Set overall City goal for safety employee benefit contributions.**
- **Alternative ways to reach target should be analyzed, compared and discussed with employee organizations.**