

**CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS**  
**SINGLE AUDIT OF FEDERALLY**  
**ASSISTED GRANT PROGRAMS**

**JUNE 30, 2016**

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

June 30, 2016

	<u>Page Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1 - 2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3 - 4
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs:	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7 - 9
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	10

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER  
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS  
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN  
ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Honorable Mayor  
and Members of City Council  
of the City of Beverly Hills  
Beverly Hills, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Beverly Hills, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2016.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

*White Nelson Dick Evans LLP*

Irvine, California  
December 28, 2016

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH  
MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE  
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND ON THE  
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

To the Honorable Mayor  
and Members of City Council  
of the City of Beverly Hills  
Beverly Hills, California

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited the City of Beverly Hills, California's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

**Management's Responsibility**

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

**Auditors' Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on the compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance.

**Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.

## **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

## **Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

*White Nelson Dick Evans LLP*

Irvine, California

February 22, 2017, except to the date for the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, to which the date is December 28, 2016

**SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS  
AND  
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS**

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended June 30, 2016

Federal Grantor / Pass-Through Grantor / Program	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Identification Number	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients
<u>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development:</u>				
Passed through from Los Angeles County Community Development Commission:				
Handyman Service:				
Single Unit	14.218	D96095-15	\$ 104,950	\$ -
Multiple Units	14.218	D99102-15	113,140	-
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			218,090	-
<u>U.S. Department of Justice:</u>				
Direct Assistance:				
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	CA0191000	715,331	-
Total U.S. Department of Justice			715,331	-
<u>U.S. Department of Homeland Security:</u>				
Direct Assistance:				
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	2014-00110	102,033	-
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			102,033	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS			\$ 1,035,454	\$ -

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

## CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

### NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the year ended June 30, 2016

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the City of Beverly Hills (the City) under programs of the federal government, as well as federal financial assistance passed through other government agencies for the year ended June 30, 2016. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial statements of the City. The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 of the notes to the City's basic financial statements.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds and the accrual basis for proprietary funds, which is described in Note 1 of the notes to the City's basic financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance and OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

#### 3. INDIRECT COST RATE:

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

#### 4. RELATIONSHIP TO COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT:

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule agree to amounts reported within the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### 5. RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS:

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule agree with amounts reported in federal financial reports.

#### 6. CONTINGENCIES:

Under the terms of federal and state grants, additional audits may be requested by the grantor agencies and certain costs may be questioned as not being appropriate expenditures under the terms of the grants. Such audits could lead to a request for reimbursement to the grantor agencies.

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

For the year ended June 30, 2016

1. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS:

*Financial Statements*

Type of auditors' report issued:

- Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness identified?                    \_\_\_ yes          x   no
- Significant deficiency identified?                \_\_\_ yes          x   none reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted:    \_\_\_ yes          x   no

*Federal Awards*

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness identified?                    \_\_\_ yes          x   no
- Significant deficiency identified?                \_\_\_ yes          x   none reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

- Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a) of the Uniform Guidance?

\_\_\_ yes          x   no

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Numbers

14.218

Name of Federal Program or Cluster

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, passed through from the Los Angeles County Community Development Commission, Community Development Block Grant

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:

\$   750,000  

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

  x   yes        \_\_\_ no

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2016

2. FINDINGS RELATING TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

None noted.

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

For the year ended June 30, 2016

3. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR MAJOR FEDERAL AWARDS PROGRAMS:

None noted.

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

For the year ended June 30, 2016

1. FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

None noted.

2. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR MAJOR FEDERAL AWARDS PROGRAMS:

None noted.