La Cienega Park Master Plan
City Council Presentation

- Public Outreach Summary and Outcomes
- City Council Direction
- Town Hall #4 - Description
- Town Hall #4 - Outcomes
Public Outreach Summary

- Stakeholder Assessment: 24 Interviews (46 participants)
- 8 Focus Groups: (62 participants)
- 4 Town Hall Meetings
- Online Survey (700 responses)
- Comprehensive Publicity and Media Program
Support for current uses

- Organized sports
- Tennis
- Walking, running
- Outdoor exercise
- Youth play
- Community center
- Duplicate bridge club
Remodel these facilities:

- Playground
- Restrooms
- Community center
- Snack bar
- Ballfield configuration
Experiential desires

- Safety, security, cleanliness
- A desire to linger, not leave
- Open green space
- Relaxation
- Family space
- Age appropriate play areas
- Accessible field schedule
- Less field restriction
- Accessible fields
- Unstructured play
Highest priorities

1. Public pool (*multiple needs*)
2. Basketball courts (*indoor and/or outdoor*)
3. Updated community center (*multiple needs*)
4. Shade and green space
5. Improved play structures
6. Improved restrooms
7. Expand duplicate bridge
8. Improved parking
9. Dog park
10. Water feature
City Council Direction

- Explore all of community wish list (except skate and dog park)
- Buildings on west side. Fields and open space on east side
- See what fits and how it fits (Create Master Plan)
- Hold Town Hall #4 - Assess perspectives on Master Plan
Town Hall #4
Master Plan Workshop

- 59 Public Stakeholders
  - Significant majority - new attendees from past Town Halls
- 3 Councilmembers
- 4 Recreation and Park Commissioners
- 4 Other City Commissioners
Town Hall #4

Agenda

• Overview of General Park Planning Process
• Summary of Public Outreach Outcomes To-date
• Presentation of Park Master Plan
• Workstations
• Public Comment
Workstations - Topics

• Recreation facilities *(Tennis, indoor recreation and aquatics)*

• Community Center *(Meeting rooms, classrooms and pre-school)*

• Access, parking, ball fields and park open space
Workstations / Worksheets – Key Questions

• Does the Master Plan achieve the public priorities? If so, how?

• Does the Master Plan not achieve the public priorities? If not, why not?

• Having now seen the Master Plan, are there any priority items you would change?
Workstation Outcomes

- Robust Discussion
- Consistent participation – Minimal attrition of participants
- Equitable
- General Themes from Flipchart Notes
  - Majority support of Master Plan
  - Some concerns about long range costs
  - Some concerns about long range phasing
  - Significant discussion about future design ideas
Worksheet Outcomes – Recreation

• Does the Master Plan achieve the public priorities? If so, how?
  • 18 comments. All supportive

• Does the Master Plan not achieve the public priorities? If not, why not?
  • 8 comments. 7 design suggestions. 1 refutation

• Having now seen the Master Plan, are there any priority items you would change?
  • 9 comments. Various design suggestions
Worksheet Outcomes – Community Center

- Does the Master Plan achieve the public priorities? If so, how?
  - 16 comments. All supportive

- Does the Master Plan not achieve the public priorities? If not, why not?
  - 9 comments. 7 design suggestions. 2 refutation

- Having now seen the Master Plan, are there any priority items you would change?
  - 12 comments. Various design suggestions. 1 priority change
Worksheet Outcomes – Access, Parking, Fields

• Does the Master Plan achieve the public priorities? If so, how?
  • 16 comments. All supportive

• Does the Master Plan not achieve the public priorities? If not, why not?
  • 9 comments. 9 design suggestions.

• Having now seen the Master Plan, are there any priority items you would change?
  • 15 comments. 15 various design suggestions.
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