The Honorable Bob Wieckowski,
Senator, 10th District
1021 O Street, Suite 6530
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: SB 897 (Wieckowski) Accessory Dwelling Units: Junior Accessory Dwelling Units
City of Beverly Hills – OPPOSE

Dear Senator Wieckowski,

On behalf of the City of Beverly Hills, I write to inform you of our respectful OPPOSITION to SB 897, which would make several changes to state law related to Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADUs) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Unit (JADUs). SB 897 would modify the current process for local agency approval, the total allowable height, the application of local building codes, and parking requirements that apply to these structures. Additionally, this bill creates a process allowing for the permitting of previously unpermitted ADUs.

Our City is very concerned about the expansion of ADU requirements that SB 897 would have on local jurisdictions, especially as each city in California carefully considers standards for building ADU’s that are in line with the architectural design of the communities and ensures they meet the needs of their residents. Our City finds the imposition of the following restrictions to local regulations of ADUs and/or JADUs to be an overreach of state government into local zoning requirements:

- **Local Agency Approval Process.** This bill requires local agencies to approve or deny an ADU or JADU permit application within sixty days. Currently law only requires local agencies to “act” on a permit application within sixty days.

- **Height Requirements.** This bill increases the allowable height limit for ADUs in certain locations. Specifically, the bill allows ADUs as tall as twenty-five feet when the ADU is attached to an existing home, or when the ADU is within ½ mile of major transit or high-quality transit corridors.
• **Building Code.** This bill makes several changes to the form and manner in which building codes are applied to ADUs.

• **Parking Requirements.** Expands restrictions on the ability of local agencies to impose parking requirements on parcels with ADUs as follows:
  - Prohibits local agencies from imposing any parking standards when a developer submits concurrent permit applications to create an ADU and a new single-family dwelling on the same lot.
  - Requires local agencies to reduce the number of parking spaces required for new multifamily dwellings by two parking spaces for each ADU that is proposed on the same lot when the applications are submitted concurrently.

• **Grandfathering Unpermitted ADUs.** Provides that, unless a local agency makes a finding that correcting a specified violation is necessary to protect the health and safety of the public or occupants of the structure, or the ADU is deemed substandard pursuant to existing law, a local agency cannot deny a permit for a constructed, unpermitted ADU built before January 1, 2018, for specified reasons.

SB 897 would make these changes to ADU and JADU law even though the underlying ADU statute has been amended nearly every year since 2016. Over the intervening years, the state has been engaged in endless tinkering with ADU law that could, in many cases send local agencies back to the drawing board on their ADU ordinances. Many of these rapid-fire changes undermine local control and create additional costs for compliance.

With the multitude of changes in state law mandating increased density in housing over the last several years, cities, counties, and the state have had little opportunity to see if the changes mandated by state law are having the desired effect. Three such bills include:

• SB 9 (Atkins) 2021 which facilitated the process for homeowners to subdivide their current residential lot or build a duplex by mandating local governments ministerially approve these projects. This bill essentially ended single-family zoning in California;

• SB 35 (Wiener) 2017, which mandates that cities or counties who do not meet the state-mandated Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) target must provide a streamlined, ministerial review process for qualifying multifamily residential projects: and

• SB 330 (Skinner) 2019, later extended by SB 8 (Skinner) declared a statewide housing crisis and for a five-year period (later extended to 2030). The bill’s goal is to increase residential unit development, protect existing housing inventory, and expedite permit processing. This measure made a number of modifications to existing law, such as the Permit Streamlining Act and the Housing Accountability Act.
The Legislature should pause before making further changes to ADU laws until local governments have had a chance to finalize their ADU ordinances and the Legislature can evaluate the effect of recent changes on ADU development. For these reasons, we OPPOSE SB 897.

Sincerely,

Lili Bosse,
Mayor, City of Beverly Hills

cc: Chair and Members, Assembly Committee on Appropriations
    The Honorable Ben Allen, Senator, 26th District
    The Honorable Richard Bloom, Assemblymember, 50th District
    Andrew K. Antwih, Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange