Beverly Hills City Council Liaison / Commission Standardization Ad Hoc Committee will conduct a Special Meeting, at the following time and place, and will address the agenda listed below:

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS
455 N. Rexford Drive
Municipal Gallery
Beverly Hills, CA 90210

IN-PERSON / TELEPHONIC / VIDEO CONFERENCE MEETING

Beverly Hills Liaison Committee Meeting
https://beverlyhills-org.zoom.us/my/committee
Meeting ID: 516 191 2424
Passcode: 90210

You can also dial in by phone:
+1 669 900 9128 US
+1 833 548 0282 (Toll-Free)

One tap mobile:
+1 669 900 9128, 516 191 2424# US
+1 833 548 0282, 516 191 2424# US (Toll-Free)

Monday, June 5, 2023
4:00 PM

Please be advised that pre-entry metal detector screening requirements are now in place in City Hall. Members of the public are requested to plan visits accordingly.

In the interest of maintaining appropriate social distancing, members of the public can view this meeting through live webcast at www.beverlyhills.org/live and on BH Channel 10 or Channel 35 on Spectrum Cable, and can participate in the teleconference/video conference by using the link above. Written comments may be emailed to mayorandcitycouncil@beverlyhills.org and will also be taken during the meeting when the topic is being reviewed by the Beverly Hills City Council Liaison / Commission Standardization Ad Hoc Committee. Beverly Hills Liaison meetings will be in-person at City Hall.

AGENDA

1) Public Comment
   a. Members of the public will be given the opportunity to directly address the Committee on any item listed on the agenda.

2) Background Checks for Commissioners

3) Design Review Commission Duties and Workload
4) Interview and Selection Process for the Rent Stabilization Commission

5) Adjournment

Huma Ahmed
City Clerk

Posted: June 2, 2023

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Beverly Hills will make reasonable efforts to accommodate persons with disabilities. If you require special assistance, please call (310) 285-1014 (voice) or (310) 285-6881 (TTY). Providing at least twenty-four (24) hours advance notice will help to ensure availability of services. City Hall, Municipal Gallery is wheelchair accessible.
TO: Commission Standardization Ad Hoc Committee Liaisons
FROM: Ryan Gohlich, Assistant City Manager
DATE: June 5, 2023
SUBJECT: Background Checks for Commissioners

As the Liaisons are aware, commissioners are appointed by the City Council. Presently, the City does not require background checks for commissioners, but does require background checks for all City staff. Given that commissioners serve as representatives of Beverly Hills and the City Council, Mayor Gold has requested that the Liaisons review whether it would be prudent to require background checks for commissioners. In the event that the Liaisons recommend requiring background checks, several categories could be considered as follows:

- Criminal convictions
- Bankruptcies
- Lawsuits
- Suspended or revoked professional licenses
- Any other categories the Liaisons believe would be important to review

In the event that the Liaisons recommend implementing background checks, staff recommends that the checks only be performed on commissioner finalists, thereby expediting the recruitment process and limiting the number of individuals subjected to background checks. The Liaisons may also wish to include one back-up candidate in the event that a finalist is disqualified as a result of the background check. Any recommendations from the Liaisons will be presented to the full City Council for consideration.
MEMORANDUM
CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS

TO: Commission Standardization Ad Hoc Committee Liaisons
FROM: Ryan Gohlich, Assistant City Manager
DATE: June 5, 2023
SUBJECT: Design Review Commission Duties and Workload

As the Liaisons are aware, a prior recruitment for Design Review Commissioners proved to be quite challenging, and required the Council to extend existing terms and open a new recruitment, which is currently pending. In light of the challenges experienced during the earlier recruitment, Mayor Gold has requested that the Liaisons review the Commission’s duties and workload to determine whether there is any opportunity to streamline or consolidate the work of the Commission.

The Design Review Commission reviews the exterior design of single-family homes in the Central Area of the City, and its duties are outlined in the Municipal Code as follows:

A. Exercise the authority set forth in this article and as otherwise provided in this code;
B. In carrying out its duties pursuant to this code:
   1. Review and authorize the overall conceptual design of proposed single-family residences in the Central Area of the city, recognizing that some minor design details will change when construction drawings are prepared and during field construction;
   2. Balance the desire for efficient application processing with the desire to ensure high quality architectural and landscape designs;
   3. Assist applicants in achieving their design objectives, recognize individual homeowner interests and allow for a diversity of designs, while ensuring overall compatibility to the neighborhood; and
   4. Focus greater attention to overall design themes and less to ornamental design features, hardware, balcony railings, and other secondary features;
C. Develop educational material that aid project applicants in achieving high quality design standards and provide guidance to applicants and homeowners;
D. Recommend and amend policies as it may deem necessary to implement the purposes of this article;
E. Participate in, promote, and conduct public informational and educational programs pertaining to single-family urban design;
F. Develop a program to celebrate exemplary single-family residences; and
G. Perform any other functions that may be designated by resolution or motion of the city council.

The Design Review Commission meets once per month, and over the past several years there has been a decline in cases brought forward to the Design Review Commission. Based on discussions with staff and applicants, this decline appears to be attributed to the majority of applicants electing to utilize the City’s streamlined Track 1 design review process, which allows
homes to be approved at the staff level if the home is designed by a licensed architect and adheres to a pure architectural style. Track 1 is intended to incentivize tasteful design by minimizing the permitting process. While this has proven to be popular with applicants and achieved the City’s goal of improving the design of new single-family homes, it also appears to have reduced the Commission’s workload. Over the preceding 12 months, the Design Review Commission canceled two meetings due to a lack of projects, and reviewed a total of nine homes over that period.

Over the same period of time the City’s Architectural Commission, which reviews the exterior design of multi-family and commercial buildings, did not need to cancel any meetings due to a lack of projects and reviewed a total of 56 projects. Given that the Architectural Commission’s duties are very similar to those of the Design Review Commission, the Liaisons may wish to discuss opportunities for consolidating the work of the two Commissions, or any other actions the Liaisons wish to forward to the full City Council. For comparison purposes, the duties of the Architectural Commission are as follows:

A. Exercise the authority set forth in this article and as otherwise provided in this code;
B. In carrying out its duties pursuant to this code:
   1. Review and authorize the overall conceptual design of a proposed structure, landscaping, and signs, recognizing that minor design details will change when construction drawings are prepared and during field construction;
   2. Focus greater attention to overall design themes and less to ornamental design features, hardware, balcony railings, and other secondary features; and
   3. Balance the desire for efficient application processing with the desire to ensure high quality architectural and landscape designs;
C. Develop educational material that aid project applicants in achieving high quality design standards and provide guidance to applicants;
D. Recommend and amend policies as it may deem necessary to implement the purposes of this article;
E. Participate in, promote, and conduct informational and educational programs pertaining to urban design in commercial and multi-family zones;
F. Develop a program to celebrate exemplary commercial and multi-family architecture, and landscape designs;
G. Perform any other functions that may be designated by resolution or motion of the city council.

Staff seeks recommendations from the Liaisons, which will be forwarded to the full City Council for consideration.
The Rent Stabilization Commission is comprised of a unique membership, which includes two tenants, two landlords, and two at-large members that are neither a tenant nor landlord. There is also one alternate provided for each member category. The two at-large members alternate annually as chairperson, and the tenant and landlord members alternate annually as vice-chair. When commissioner recruitments are conducted the chair and vice-chair of each commission participate in the process, along with the two assigned Council Liaisons.

The current rotation of the Rent Stabilization Commission calls for the at-large chairperson and the landlord vice-chair to participate in the recruitment and interview process that is currently pending; however, the position currently being recruited for is a tenant position. Given that the position currently being recruited for is a tenant position, Councilmember Mirisch has requested that the interview process be modified to require a tenant representative whenever a tenant position is recruited for. This could be achieved by either adding a tenant representative to the recruitment process, or by excluding the landlord representative (current vice-chair) from the recruitment process. While this would be a deviation from the standard recruitment assignments given to the chair and vice-chair, it could be accomplished via Council action.

Staff seeks recommendations from the Liaisons regarding whether to modify the current recruitment procedures, and any recommendations will be presented to the full City Council for consideration.