ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORM

ELECTION DATE: __May 23, 2023__   MEASURE I.D. (if any): __B__

JURISDICTION: __City of Beverly Hills__

(Please mark (x) in the appropriate box)

☐ Argument in Favor	☐ Argument Against
☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against	☒ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing according to the County's system requirements. When preparing your statement, please use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. **Entire statements in all capital letters, bold and italics (or any combination of enhancements) are not acceptable. Indentations cannot be accommodated**. Words to be printed in **boldface type**, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. **NOTE**: Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please attach typed statement to this form. Statements should be typed in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using a standard font and size determined by the County. However, statements can be submitted using any standard font.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure B

The project was not "overwhelmingly" supported. The City Council was not uninanimous, and more than 2,500 residents signed petitions to place it on the ballot.

The claim of great income from the project is unverifiable, and does not even account for millions of dollars for required infrastructure and other continuing city services.

The hotel project would bring in some income, but so would ANY hotel. So why allow a massive, out-of-scale, up to 9 story building which would permanently mar the landscape and character of our Triangle; would create traffic and gridlock; would strain our infrastructure and water supply; and would impair our quality of life?

It just doesn't belong there.

We could have a code compliant 3 story hotel—a *real* boutique hotel —with a much better development agreement for any reasonable concessions.

Contrary to their argument, no funds whatsoever have been earmarked for schools, police, fire, or affordable housing.

There are also no guarantees that top wages or benefits would be paid to hotel employees. In fact, they would be lucky to find a parking space since code parking was not required.

It is troubling that according to required lobbyist registrations, three of our ex-mayors are using their past positions to profit, to the tune of about $300,000, as lobbyists for Cheval Blanc.

Don't fall for the high paid rhetoric of the developer's lobbyists and a plethora of expensive, misleading advertising. And don't open the floodgates. Our city and quality of life are not for sale.